

From: [Jacquelyn Young](#)
To: [Miller, Garyg](#)
Subject: Re: SJRWP RI Report Table 5-9 Correction
Date: Monday, February 10, 2014 2:30:31 PM

Hi Gary,

Thank you for the power points!

My concern for groundwater is regarding both the wells at the site and the private water wells. I know my family became the most ill after hurricane Ike, it is also not uncommon to hear this same story in town. Our water was almost of a jello consistency and was filled with sediment. We at the time didn't know any better than to use it, we thought it was maybe a little dirt. Very dumb, now I know, but we were told we had a pristine, protected aquifer. If you look at this simulation data, you can see how the water was carried north then north-east

http://chg.ices.utexas.edu/images/surge_wind_slower.gif

My point with that is that I don't think every day there is dioxin found in the wells north of the site, but I am not convinced that in time it hasn't occurred. Maybe my dad got chloracne because of some other reason, but it is all too coincidental our water was riddled with sediment after the last hurricane then we all got sick and my father developed chloracne. I feel like risking one hurricane season to remove this source makes way more sense than leaving it and risking every hurricane season to come.

My reference to Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate and lead were from the private wells the EPA sampled. Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate found at 3.9 micrograms/L in 4 wells and the table on page four of the health consultation shows 2.5 as the CREG. Yet these people were sent letters saying their water is ok- I just don't get that. I don't feel like the state is looking out for us. On page 4 there is a column that is labeled "Number of Wells that Exceed Comparison Value", and on the row designated for Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate, under this column, it states 4. So from my understanding there is something they are able to compare it to, found something out of the norm, and still sent letters to these sick people saying it's ok. It might not have been dioxin, but I don't think that means its ok.

Regarding the sample wells near the site, I referred to arsenic, 2,3,7,8-TCDD, benzene and again Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate which I read on table 6-12, pages 1-3. If I have interpreted this wrong, please let me know I would like to be wrong!

Our efforts regarding this project have received a lot of media attention over the last two months- which is what Ron advised we ramp up. I spoke with him twice last week at the luncheon here in Houston. I handed him two packets- one filled with media coverage and the other filled with letters from the community asking for the EPA to remove the site. Would you be interested in seeing the community letters?

I am hosting a coalition meeting tomorrow in the community where Lisa Gossett will give a presentation about the superfund process. After the January 30 meeting we realized the importance of educating the newly engaged community members about the superfund process and the EPA's role in the process.

Thank you,



Jackie Young

On Feb 4, 2014, at 12:10 PM, Miller, Garyg <Miller.Garyg@epa.gov> wrote:

Thanks for the info.

Here is the Power Point – part 1 of 2; the rest in another email.

I wanted to get back to you on the ground water; at the meeting the concentration numbers you mentioned, were they at the waste pits or for the private wells?

FYI, the ground water well installation (shallow & deeper wells) is described on page 2-29 (and Figs 2-12, 3-6) of the Remedial Investigation Report on the EPA website.

Gary Miller
EPA Remedial Project Manager
214-665-8318
miller.garyg@epa.gov

From: Jacquelyn Young [<mailto:jeyoung@texanstogogether.org>]
Sent: Monday, February 03, 2014 2:15 PM
To: Miller, Garyg
Subject: Re: SJRWP RI Report Table 5-9 Correction

Gary,

If you have the capacity to cover all of Highlands and Channelview, I think that would be ideal. However, these are the areas that I know desperately need attention are:

Highlands- Grace Lane, Barbers Hill Rd/Madeline St, Park St, Pin Oak Dr, Clear Lake Rd.

Channelview- River Rd, Meadowbrook Rd, Fairmont Dr.

Would it be possible for you to share your powerpoint from the meeting?

Also, at next week's coalition meeting, Dr Lisa Gossett is going to give a presentation to the community regarding the EPA and superfund process. The idea is to build an educated, organized coalition in the community, so that they know you all are not the bad guys and the process is understood.

Thank you,
Jackie Young

On Jan 31, 2014, at 3:50 PM, Miller, Garyg <Miller.Garyg@epa.gov> wrote:

Thanks Jackie. That was a lively meeting, but not totally unusual. We did get a lot of feedback that will help. One thing, if a disease cluster study was done for the area,

based on your discussions with the folks - what would be some good areas to include? Areas around I-10 on either side of the river? Areas several miles north of I-10? All of Channelview & Highlands? Any input would be appreciated.

Thanks,

Gary Miller
EPA Remedial Project Manager
214-665-8318
miller.garyg@epa.gov

From: Jacquelyn Young [<mailto:jeyoung@texanstogogether.org>]
Sent: Friday, January 31, 2014 1:39 PM
To: Miller, Garyg
Subject: Re: SJRWP RI Report Table 5-9 Correction

Hi Gary,

Thank you for sending me this update. Also, thank you for hosting the meeting last night. I wish you would of been able to present more but it was such a tough crowd. I was not expecting people to be so fired up. I of course understand because I too eventually got frustrated and upset. We all live burdened by the environment there- whether its from air, water or soil. We just want to see the state and the federal level communicate and do what's best for the community. When I am in the community I express that you are on our side but that you are bound by standard procedures and laws. I think that is something that was missed last night, I think it was a lot of folks first time to go to the meeting and they simply don't understand this stuff does take a long time to resolve.

I will continue my work engaging the community but now am aware more than ever I need to make it clear your office is not the enemy.

I plan to go door to door next week around the first couple of streets in town. I hope to come across the woman who mentioned her well was tested and heavy metals were found. Whatever data I get my hands on I will send your way.

Thanks again for all your help.

Jackie Young

On Jan 31, 2014, at 10:56 AM, Miller, Gary <Miller.Garyg@epa.gov> wrote:

Jackie,

There was an error in the final RI Report & this table corrects it.

Regards,

Gary Miller
EPA Remedial Project Manager
214-665-8318
miller.garyg@epa.gov

From: Jennifer Sampson [<mailto:jsampson@integral-corp.com>]
Sent: Thursday, January 30, 2014 8:04 PM
To: Miller, Garyg
Cc: David Keith; Philip J Slowiak; Dave Moreira (dmoreira@wm.com)
Subject: SJRWP RI Report Table 5-9 Correction

Hi Gary-

Integral has investigated the question you had about the TEQ statistics for sediment presented in Table 5-9 of the May, 2013 Final Remedial Investigation Report, and have found the table to be in error. The error resulted from the analyst incorrectly substituting the "total dioxins and furans" in the last line of the table, instead of the "TEQ_{DF}" concentrations; we thoroughly checked the other tables in the report and do not expect this sort of problem elsewhere.

The error has been corrected, and the corrected table is attached. The corrected table has been inserted into the PDF of the report posted on the wiki, and the single page with only this table has also been posted for the convenience of others.

I will send you this table in hardcopy tomorrow.

I apologize for any confusion caused by this error.

CERCLA Docket 06-03-10.

Jennifer

Jennifer Sampson | Senior Managing Scientist
Integral Consulting Inc. | www.integral-corp.com
411 1st Avenue S., Suite 550 | Seattle, WA 98104
Tel: 206.957.0351 | Cell: 360.286.7552 | Fax: 206.230.9601

HEALTH ENVIRONMENT TECHNOLOGY SUSTAINABILITY

This electronic message and attachments may contain information that is joint defense privileged, privileged and/or confidential attorney work product prepared in anticipation of litigation. The contents are intended to be for the use of the person named above. If you are not the intended recipient, please be aware that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or use of the contents of this information is prohibited. If you have received this electronic transmission in error, please contact the sender by telephone at 206 230-9600 or by email at jsampson@integral-corp.com.
<RI_Report_Table 5-9_Revised_30Jan2014.pdf>

<San Jacinto Community Meeting part 1 of 2 1-30-2014.pptx>